

3.2—EVALUATIONS

Definitions

"Beginning administrator" means a building level or district level leader who has not completed three (3) years of experience as a building level or district level administrator.

"Building level or district level leader" means an individual employed by the District whose job assignment is that of a building level or district level administrator or an equivalent role, including an administrator licensed by the State Board of Education, an unlicensed administrator, or an individual on an Administrator Licensure Completion Plan. Building level or district level leader does not include the superintendent, deputy superintendents, associate superintendents, and assistant superintendents.

"Novice teacher" is a teacher who has less than three (3) years of public school classroom experience.

"Teacher" has the same definition as A.C.A. § 6-17-2803(16).

Teachers

Teachers will be evaluated under the provisions and timelines of the Teacher Excellence and Support System (TESS).

The superintendent or designee(s) shall develop procedures to govern the evaluation process and timelines for the evaluations.

Teachers will be evaluated under the schedule and provisions required by TESS. All teachers, other than novice, will have a summative evaluation over all domains and components at least once every four (4) years. To establish the initial four (4) year rotation schedule for teachers to be summatively evaluated, at least one-quarter (1/4) of each school's teachers, other than novice teachers, will be selected for evaluation by random selection. Novice teachers will receive a summative evaluation in the year following the completion of their novice period and will be added to the four (4) year summative evaluation rotation for following years. A teacher who transfers into the District from another Local Educational Agency (LEA) will have a summative evaluation over all domains and components during the first year in the district and then be added to the four (4) year summative evaluation rotation.

All teachers shall develop a Professional Growth Plan (PGP) annually that identifies professional growth outcomes to advance the teacher's professional skills and clearly links personalized, competency-based professional learning opportunities to the professional growth outcomes. The teacher's PGP must be approved by the teacher's evaluator. If there is disagreement between a teacher and the teacher's evaluator concerning the PGP, the decision of the evaluator shall be final.

Following a summative evaluation, the teacher shall receive an overall performance rating that is derived from:

1. A written evaluation of the teacher's performance on all evaluation domains as a whole;
2. The evaluation framework and evaluation rubric appropriate to the teacher's role;
3. Multiple sources of evidence of the teacher's professional practice including, but not limited to:
 - a. Direct observation;
 - b. Indirect observation;
 - c. Artifacts; and
 - d. Data; and
4. Presentations of evidence chosen by the teacher, the evaluator, or both.

The Summative evaluation shall provide an opportunity for the evaluator and the teacher to discuss the review of the evidence used in the evaluation and provide feedback that the teacher can use to improve his/her teaching skills and student learning.

While teachers are only required to be summatively evaluated once every four (4) years, the teacher's evaluator may conduct a summative evaluation in any year.

A teacher shall continue to demonstrate a commitment to student learning in formative years by furthering the teacher's professional growth and development as guided by the teacher's PGP. The teacher's evaluator, or one or more individuals selected by the evaluator, shall support the teacher on an ongoing basis throughout the formative years by:

- Providing teachers with immediate feedback about teaching practices;
- Engaging teachers in a collaborative, supportive learning process; and
- Helping teachers use assessment methods supported by evidence-based research that inform the teacher of student progress and provide a basis for adapting teaching practices.

An overall performance rating is not required in a formative year.

Building Level or District Level Evaluations

Building level or district level leaders will be evaluated under the schedule and provisions required by the Leader Excellence and Development System (LEADS).

The superintendent or designee(s) shall develop procedures to govern the evaluation process and timelines for the evaluations.

Building level or district level leaders, except for beginning administrators, shall have a summative evaluation at least once every four (4) years. To establish the initial four-year rotation schedule for building level or district level leaders, except for beginning administrators, to be summatively evaluated, at least one quarter (1/4) of each school's building level or district level leaders will be selected for evaluation by random selection. Beginning administrators shall have a summative evaluation in the year following the completion of their beginning administrator period and will be added to the four (4) year summative evaluation rotation for following years.

A building level or district level leader who transfers into the District from another LEA shall be added to the four (4) year summative evaluation rotation based on when the building level or district level leader's most recent summative evaluation was conducted.

A building level or district level leader shall complete a PGP based on the standards and functions determined during the initial summative evaluation meeting with the superintendent or designee. If there is disagreement between a building level or district level leader and the leader's evaluator concerning the PGP, the decision of the evaluator shall be final.

The building level or district level leader shall annually revise his/her PGP and associated documents required under LEADS. In a non-summative evaluation year, his/her job performance will be measured on how well the PGP's goals have been met.

The Superintendent or designee shall use the evaluation framework and rubric that is appropriate to the role and responsibilities of the building level or district level leader when conducting the building level or district level leader's summative evaluation. The building level or district level leader's summative evaluation shall result in a written overall performance rating that is based on multiple sources of evidence of the building level or district level leader's

professional practice, which may include:

- a. Direct observation;
- b. Indirect observation;
- c. Artifacts; and
- d. Data

When the Superintendent or designee conducts a summative evaluation, he/she will base the building level or district level leader's continuing employment recommendation on:

- The level of performance based on the performance functions and standards of the evaluation rubric;
- The evidence of teacher performance and growth applicable to the building- or district-level leader; and
- The building- or district-level leader's progression on his or her professional growth plan.

While building level or district level leaders are required to be summatively evaluated once every four (4) years, the Superintendent or designee may conduct a summative evaluation in any year.

Legal References: A.C.A. § 6-17-2801 et seq.
 ADE Rules Governing Educator Support and Development

Approved by Board of Education: 08/18/09
Amended by Board of Education 07/16/13
Amended by Board of Education 04/12/16
Amended by Board of Education 04/10/18
Amended by Board of Education 04/09/19